UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

District of New Mexico

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA V.

Judgment in a Criminal Case

MIGUEL MENDEZ-BLANQUEL

(For Offenses Committed On or After November 1, 1987)

Case Number: 1:15CR02275-001JB

USM Number: 80969-051

Defense Attorney: Benjamin Gonzales, Appointed

THE	E DEFENDANT:								
	pleaded guilty to count(s) Information pleaded nolo contendere to count(s) which was accepted by the court. after a plea of not guilty was found guilty on count(s)								
The	The defendant is adjudicated guilty of these offenses:								
Title	and Section	Nature of Offense		Offense Ended	Count Number(s)				
8 U.	S.C. Sec. 1326(a)/(b)	Re-entry of a Removed Alien		07/18/2014					
	The defendant is sentenced as provided in pages 2 through 3 of this judgment. The sentence is imposed pursuant to the Sentencing Reform Act of 1984.								
 □ The defendant has been found not guilty on count . □ Count dismissed on the motion of the United States. 									
IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the defendant must notify the United States attorney for this district within 30 days of any change of name, residence, or mailing address until all fines, restitution, costs, and special assessments imposed by this judgment are fully paid. If ordered to pay restitution, the defendant must notify the court and United States attorney of material changes in economic circumstances.									
July 31, 2015 Date of Imposition of Judgment			Judgment						
			/s/ James O. Brown Signature of Judge	ing					
			Honorable James (ict Judge					
			Name and Title of Jud August 10, 2015 Date Signed	ge					

Defendant: MIGUEL MENDEZ-BLANQUEL

Case Number: 1:15CR02275-001JB

IMPRISONMENT

The defendant is hereby committed to the custody of the United States Bureau of Prisons to be imprisoned for a total term of **87 days** or time served, whichever is less.

The Court recommends that Immigration and Customs Enforcement begin immediate removal proceedings.

Pursuant to section 5D1.1(c), the Court will not impose a term of supervised release.

The Court has considered the Guidelines and, in arriving at its sentence, has taken account of the Guidelines with other sentencing goals. Specifically, the Court has considered the Guidelines' sentencing range established for the applicable category of offense committed by the applicable category of Defendant. The Court believes that the Guidelines' punishment is appropriate for this sort of offense. Therefore, the sentence in this judgment is consistent with a guideline sentence. The Court has considered the kind of sentence and range established by the Guidelines. The Court believes that a sentence of 87 days reflects the seriousness of the offense, promotes respect for the law, provides just punishment, affords adequate deterrence, protects the public, avoids unwarranted sentencing disparities among similarly situated defendants, effectively provides the Defendant with needed education or vocational training and medical care, and otherwise fully reflects each of the factors embodied in 18 U.S.C. Section 3553(a). The Court also believes the sentence is reasonable. The Court believes the sentence is sufficient, but not greater than necessary, to comply with the purposes set forth in the Sentencing Reform Act.

☑ The court makes the following recommendations to the Bureau of Prisons:

The Court requests the Defendant be processed through El Paso, TX, when deported.

\boxtimes	The defendant is remanded to the custody of the United States Marshal.							
	The defendant shall surrender to the United States Marshal for this district:							
		at on						
		as notified by the United States						
☐ The defendant shall surrender for service of sentence at the institution designated by the Bureau of Prisons:								
		as notified by the United States Marshal.						
		as notified by the Probation or l	Pretrial Services Office.					
			RETURN					
		ecuted this judgment as follows:		to				
			_ at	with a Certified copy of this Judgment.				
				UNITED STATES MARSHAL				
				By				
				DEPUTY UNITED STATES MARSHAL				

Judgment - Page 3 of 3

Defendant: MIGUEL MENDEZ-BLANQUEL

Case Number: 1:15CR02275-001JB

number and type of payment.

CRIMINAL MONETARY PENALTIES

The Court hereby remits the defendant's Special Penalty Assessment; the fee is waived and no payment is required Totals: Assessment Fine Restit									
Totals.		\$waived	\$0.00	Restitution \$0.00					
		SCHEDULE OF	PAYMENTS						
-		wing order (1) assessment; (2) r	estitution; (3) fine principal; (4	s) cost of prosecution; (5) interest					
(6) penalti									
		minal monetary penalties shall l all payments previously made to		enalties imposed.					
A □	In full immediately; or								
В 🗆	\$\square\$ \\$\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \								
Special in	structions regarding the p	ayment of criminal monetary	penalties: Criminal monetar	y penalties are to be made					
				Lomas Blvd. NW, Albuquerque,					
New Mexi	ico 87102 unless otherwise	noted by the court. Payments	must include defendant's na	me, current address, case					

Unless the court has expressly ordered otherwise in the special instructions above, if this judgment imposes a period of imprisonment, payment of criminal monetary penalties shall be due during the period of imprisonment. All criminal monetary penalty payments, except those payments made through the Bureau of Prisons' Inmate Financial Responsibility Program, are to be made as directed by the court, the probation officer, or the United States attorney.